

Application Serial No. 10/522,322
Reply to Office Action of February 23, 2009

PATENT
Docket: CU-4060

REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated February 23, 2009, the Examiner states that Claims 33-63 are pending, Claim 63 is objected to, and Claims 33-63 are rejected. By the present Amendment, Applicant amends Claims 39, 40, 45 and 46.

In the amendment filed May 22, 2009, Claims 39 and 40 were amended to be dependent from Claims 33 and 34, respectively. However, that amendment caused Claims 37 and 39 to be identical, as well as Claims 38 and 40. Accordingly, in the present supplemental amendment, Claims 39 and 40 have been amended back to their original dependencies. Moreover, to overcome the rejection of Claims 45 and 46 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, these claims are currently amended to depend from Claims 37 and 38, respectively.

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections are considered overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

Respectfully submitted,



June 24, 2009

Date

Attorney for Applicant
Eric D. Babych
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300
Reg. No. 57542